UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		v	USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED	
WANDA MU to minor child	NOZ, individually and as next friend C.B.,	x	DOC #:	
	Plaintiff,			
	-against-		17-cv-9583 (LAK)	
THE CITY O	F NEW YORK, et al.,			
	Defendants.	X		
	MEMORANDI	JM AND ORI	DER	
LEWIS A. KAP	LAN, <i>District Judge</i> .			
	Both sides have filed motions in limin	e. They are di	isposed of as follows:	
Plaintiff's Mo	tion (Dkt 168)			
		ten. It is denie	ough 3 and so much of item 4 as applies to a sto item 4 as it applies to the other named denied as to item 5.	
of punitive da both questions	damages special verdict questions as to wl mages have been proved and, if so, wheth	nether the jury er it will awar	rt will submit to the jury that tries liability and finds that the legal prerequisites for an award d such damages. In the event the answers to before the same jury to determine the amount	
Defendants' N	Aotion (Dkt 164)			
whether the r Accordingly,	ty on a respondeat superior claim remain emaining defendants were City employed on that assumption, any mention of the C in the event of a plaintiff recovery. That	, there is no su es or were ac ity would serv	IV. Assuming <i>arguendo</i> that state law claims uggestion that there is any factual issue as to ting within the scope of their employment. We only to imply that the defendants would be fully and unfairly prejudicial to them without	
punitive dama	The motion is denied with respect to larges claim at the conclusion of the plaintif	Point V witho T's case.	ut prejudice to a motion for dismissal of the	
	SO ORDERED.			
Dated:	May 2, 2022			
		/s/	Lewis A. Kaplan	
		U	Lewis A. Kaplan nited States District Judge	